Exhibit B



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandric Virginia 22313-1459

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/863,801	OS/22/2001	Tom Van Horn	22930-06067	8921
75B 75	590 03/16/2004		EXAMINER	
FENWICK &			GORT, E	LAINE L
SILICON VAL	LEY CENTER	,	ART UNIT	PAPÉR NUMBER
801 CALIFOR MOUNTAIN V	TEW, CA 94041		3627	
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/200	4 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/863,801	VAN HORN ET A	L				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1				
	Elaine Gort	3627	Mul				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication a		ith the correspondence a	ddress -				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a note if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the received by the office later than three months are the received by the office later than three months are the received by the office later than three months are the received by the office later than three months are the received by the office later than three months are the received by the office later than three months are the received by the office later than three months are the received by the office later than three months are the received by the office later than three months are the received by the office later than three months are the received by the office later than three months are	1. 1.135(s). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO	reply be timely filed try (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	ely. communication.				
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10							
	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-36</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-20 is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-9,12 and 21-36 is/are rejected.	. •						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) Objected t	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rection is required if the drawir	ig(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form	P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		en received in this Nation	al Stage				
application from the International But							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies n	ot received.					
Attachment(s)	4) 🗆 Intervier	w Summary (PTO-413)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S9 Paper Nots/Mail Date	1/08) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	of Informal Patent Application (I	10-102/				

Art Unit: 3627

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claim 36 is rejected because is lacks patentable utility. Claim 36 claims the manipulation of data but performs no concrete, useful or tangible result.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-9, 12, and 21-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (US Patent 6,418,415) in view of Restatement of the Law, Second; Contracts 2d.

Walker et al. discloses the claimed method but is silent regarding the presence of the management system (on-line retailer) communicating a quantity and time reservation to a supplier and receiving the supplier's consent to reserve the quantity for the time period. Restatement of the Law, Second; Contracts 2d discloses that it is notoriously old and well known in the art of contracts to use an "option contract" which

Art Unit: 3627

Page 3

incorporates a communication to a supplier of an offer including a quantity and a time reservation (see, for example, Illustration 1. on page 73) and when this offer is accepted by the seller, and the buyer gives some form of consideration, the offer becomes a binding contract that reserves the quantity for the time period for the buyer, this allows the buyer assurance that they can obtain the product if needed along with the option to not purchase depending on the buyer's future needs. For example if demand for the product increases (such as shown by increase in stock price) the buyer would be motivated to take the option to purchase the product in order to obtain a profit, but if the price dropped (or not enough demand) then the buyer could opt not to buy the product.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method for sourcing a featured item for an on-line group-buying sale of Walker et al. with an option contract offer and acceptance as taught by Restatement of the Law, Second; Contracts 2d, in order for the management system (on-line retailer) to obtain option contracts with suppliers which would allow the management system to offer the products and/or services which they have option contracts on as available for sale instead of "if available" and knowing that if buyers highly demand the items the management system can take the option to purchase the product in order to make a profit from the sales to those buyers, but if demand is low then the management system is not obligated to purchase the products and/or services from the supplier.

Walker et al. discloses a method for sourcing a featured item for an on-line group-buying sale comprising: communication with a supplier including a featured item

Art Unit: 3627

Page 4

quantity and a featured item time reservation and obtaining suppliers consent to a quantity for a time period (for example airlines provide information of which tickets are available for what prices and which dates when an airline supplies a CPO rule set defining which offers by buyers will be accepted); conducting an on-line group-buying sale for the featured item quantity and the negotiated featured item time reservation (for example the management system offers to sell these tickets via an on-line group-buying sale during the time they are available); and sending shipment instructions to the supplier regarding featured items sold in the on-line group-buying sale (for example the airline would receive an individual's address to mail the ticket to them or to notify the buyer of changes).

5. Claims 1-9, 12, and 21-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's "Field of Invention" in the Specification in view of Restatement of the Law, Second; Contracts 2d.

Applicant's "Field of Invention" in the Specification discloses the claimed method of sourcing/doing business device except for the presence of an option contract between the on-line seller and the on-line seller's supplier. Restatement of the Law, Second; Contracts 2d discloses that it is notoriously old and well known in the art of contracts to provide an option contract between two parties to allow the buyer the assurance of obtaining a product or service for a specified price if the buyer desires to obtain the products or services for that price. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method of

Art Unit: 3627

Page 5

Applicant's "Field of Invention" in the Specification with the seller's purchasing option contract as taught by Restatement of the Law, Second; Contracts 2d, in order to allow the seller's the assurance of obtaining a product or service for a specified price if the seller desires to obtain the products or services for that agreed upon price.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/10/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that there is no motivation to combine the Restatement with Walker to achieve the patented invention. Examiner contends that there is motivation to combine the Restatement with Walker to achieve the patented invention. The motivation to combine Restatement with Walker is that the management system (on-line retailer of Walker) could then offer products and/or services which they have option contracts as available for sale instead of "if available" and knowing that if buyers highly demand the items the management system can take the option to purchase the products and/or services in order to make a profit from the sales to those buyers, but if demand is low then the management system is not obligated to purchase the products and/or services from the supplier. This system is beneficial as the on-line management system can then generate sales without having to determine if availability exists. For the airline ticket example, the management system would have an option contract established with an airline to provide a quantity of seats which the management system could purchase during a specific time period for a specific price. This benefits the

Art Unit: 3627

Page 6

management system in that the management system can then accept the buyers offers to purchase the tickets without having to determine if inventory is available on a flight as they already have access to the seats. This also provides the management system with the opportunity to take advantage of increases in market demand which may drive the prices up at which time the management system would obtain profits from the increased sales price in comparison to the contracted price with the airline.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/863,801

Art Unit: 3627

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703)872-9327.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

FG

March 12, 2004

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier)

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities - 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention. inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened stansory period set for rupty in the Notice of Allowability Extensions of time may NOT be challed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Dransperson.

MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the arrached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set per dividl result in ABANDONYENT of the application

Se 21 91